Localization of nuclear retained mRNAs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

RUNE THOMSEN,1 DOMENICO LIBRI,2 JOCELYNE BOULAY,2 MICHAEL ROSBASH,3 and TORBEN HEICK JENSEN1

1Department of Molecular Biology, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C., Denmark
2Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Center de Genetique Moleculaire, 91190 Gif sur Yvette, France
3Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02454, USA

ABSTRACT

In the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, a common conditional phenotype associated with deletion or mutation of genes encoding mRNA export factors is the rapid accumulation of mRNAs in intranuclear foci, suggested to be near transcription sites. The nuclear RNA exosome has been implicated in retaining RNAs in these foci; on deletion of the exosome component Rrp6p, the RNA is released. To determine the exact nuclear location of retained as well as released mRNAs, we have used mRNA export mutant strains to analyze the spatial relationship between newly synthesized heat shock mRNA, the chromosomal site of transcription, and known *S. cerevisiae* nuclear structures such as the nucleolus and the nucleolar body. Our results show that retained SSA4 RNA localizes to an area in close proximity to the SSA4 locus. On deletion of Rrp6p and release from the genomic locus, heat shock mRNAs produced in the *rat7*–1 strain colocalize predominantly with nucleolar antigens. Bulk poly(A)+ RNA, on the other hand, is localized primarily to the nuclear rim. Interestingly, the RNA binding nucleocytoplasmic shuttle protein Npl3p shows strong colocalization with bulk poly(A)+ RNA, regardless of its nuclear location. Taken together, our data show that retention occurs close to the gene and indicate distinct nuclear fates of different mRNAs.
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INTRODUCTION

The eukaryotic nucleus is structurally and functionally organized into nonmembranous subcompartments. The best-studied nuclear organelle is arguably the nucleolus, which is the major site of ribosome synthesis. Recently, the molecular composition and assembly of several other nuclear organelles have received attention in higher eukaryotes, and the function of such structures is starting to be revealed (Matera 1999). In the lower eukaryote *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the nucleolus has for a long time been the only described nuclear body. However, recently it was reported that *S. cerevisiae* cells have a nuclear body, through which Box C/D small nucleolar RNAs were shown to traffic (Samarsky et al. 1998; Verheggen et al. 2001). This body was named the nucleolar body and might resemble Cajal bodies from more complex cells. This is because a marker for these structures, the human survival of motor neuron protein (SMN), localized to this nuclear entity on expression in yeast (Verheggen et al. 2001).

Nuclear mRNA export is a process facilitated by multiple factors, which exercise their roles at different steps in the pathway. In *S. cerevisiae*, several “mRNA export genes” have been identified, which can be roughly placed into three different categories: (1) “early” factors physically associated with the transcription process; (2) “intermediate” factors that accompany the mRNP through the nucleoplasm to the nuclear pore complex (NPC); and (3) “late”, primarily static, factors that associate with the NPC. Obviously, these categories might show some overlap, for example, one factor might belong to two or more groups.

Conditional mutation or deletion of prominent mRNA export factors result in nuclear accumulation of poly(A)+ RNA within a few minutes after shifting to the restrictive condition. Based on such criteria, early factors include members of the THO complex, which is functionally linked to the transcription machinery (Chavez and Aguilera 1997; Chang et al. 1999; Libri et al. 2002; Strasser et al. 2002; Zenklusen et al. 2002). The biochemical function of this complex is uncertain, but it might serve as a platform onto...
which the mRNA export factors Sub2p and Yra1p can bind (Libri et al. 2002; Strasser et al. 2002; Zenklusen et al. 2002). These two proteins have been shown by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays to associate with mRNA while it is still in close proximity to chromatin (Lei et al. 2001; Zenklusen et al. 2002). On the basis of similar experimental evidence, the mRNA binding nucleocytoplasmic shuttle protein Npl3p might also be an early factor (Lei et al. 2001). Yra1p is believed to recruit the Mex67p/Mtr2p heterodimer, probable intermediate factors, which in turn mediates contact of the mRNP to late factors (Reed and Hurt 2002). These are largely NPC components, of which two well-studied members are the nucleoporins Nup159p/Rat7p and Nup42p/Rip1p.

We recently discovered that a block to nuclear export leads to rapid effects on the subnuclear localization of two heat shock mRNAs (hs-mRNAs), SSA4 and HSP104 (Jensen et al. 2001b). In a number of temperature-sensitive (ts) export mutants derived from all three groups, each mRNA was sequestered in a single intranuclear focus at the nonpermissive temperature (Hilleren et al. 2001; Jensen et al. 2001a,b; Libri et al. 2002). The relationship of a focus to different subnuclear structures and to its gene of origin has not been analyzed in detail. In all known cases, however, this retention requires Rrp6p as well as other components of the nuclear exosome, a large complex of exonucleolytic enzymes; for example, deletion of the nonessential RRP6 gene leads to release of hs-mRNA from intranuclear foci.

In this paper, we investigate further the nuclear locale of retained as well as released hs-mRNAs. Consistent with previous interpretations, we show here that the nuclear-retained SSA4 RNA signal is indeed localized at or adjacent to its corresponding gene locus. Deletion of RRP6 causes the majority of SSA4 RNA to colocalize with nucleolar antigens, whereas bulk poly(A)+ RNA is localized at the nuclear rim.

RESULTS

Export-inhibited mRNAs accumulate in a nuclear locale overlapping DNA

To determine the nuclear location of retained mRNAs, we incubated yeast mRNA export mutants Δrip1 or rat7–1 at their restrictive temperatures for 30 min and subjected fixed cells to triple-color-labeling studies: fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) for RNA, immunolocalization of the nucleolar body or the nucleolus, and DAPI staining for DNA. RNAs were detected either with Cy3-labeled probes specific for SSA4 RNA or with a Cy3-labeled dT70 probe for poly(A)+ RNA. To visualize the nucleolar body, we introduced a plasmid expressing the human SMN protein fused to GFP into Δrip1 cells. For detection of the nucleolus, cells were stained with antibodies directed toward the nucleolar protein Mpp10p.

As previously observed (Jensen et al. 2001b), SSA4 RNA appeared as a single nuclear dot on transcriptional induction in the Δrip1 haploid strain (Fig. 1A,C). In contrast, bulk mRNA, as visualized by the dT probe, stained a larger fraction of the nucleus in both Δrip1 and rat7–1 strains (Fig. 1B,D). Consistent with the report from Verheggen et al. (2001), SMN-GFP accumulated to a restricted area (the nucleolar body) within nuclei of individual cells (Fig. 1A,B). Neither SSA4 nor poly(A)+ RNA localized to the SMN-GFP stained area but, rather, showed physical overlap with the DAPI stain (Fig. 1A,B).

A comparable result was obtained when mRNA subnuclear localization was compared with the nucleolus. In the Δrip1 strain, SSA4 RNA overlapped with the DAPI stain but not the nucleolus, as defined by Mpp10p (Fig. 1C). An identical result was obtained when we assayed for HSP104 RNA localization in the Δrip1 strain (data not shown). Furthermore, both hs-mRNAs showed a similar localization pattern (nonoverlapping with Mpp10p) in the rat7–1 mRNA export mutant (data not shown). Poly(A)+ RNA in the rat7–1 strain mainly localized to an area covered by DAPI stain, although a modest overlap with the nucleolus was detectable (Fig. 1D). A similar result was obtained when the Δrip1 strain was used (data not shown). We conclude that mRNA retained within the nucleus of the Δrip1 and rat7–1 strains is largely separate from the nucleolus and the nucleolar body.

The SSA4 RNA nuclear accumulation site coincides with the SSA4 locus

Having established colocalization with DNA by DAPI staining, we wanted to evaluate the nuclear position of retained SSA4 RNA at a higher resolution. For this purpose, we created two different Δrip1 strains that both express tetR-GFP fusion proteins. They bind and label tetO operator sites, inserted into the genome in close proximity to the SSA4 locus on chromosome V. The Δrip1/URA3-tetO/tetR-GFP strain harbors 336 tetO operator sites inserted into the URA3 locus on the left arm of chromosome V, ~250 kb upstream of SSA4. The Δrip1/BMH1-tetO/tetR-GFP strain contains 448 tetO operator sites at the BMH1 locus on the right arm of chromosome V, ~180 kb downstream of SSA4 (Fig. 2A).

Hs-mRNA expression was induced in both strains, and cells were fixed and assayed for localization of SSA4 RNA and tetR-GFP by dual RNA-FISH/GFP-immunofluorescence analysis. Representative cells from the experiment are shown in Figure 2B (upper and middle rows), which illustrates that the localization of SSA4 RNA in these strains is in very close proximity to both the BMH1 and URA3 loci. As a control, RNA-FISH for HSP104 RNA was also performed in the Δrip1/URA3-tetO/tetR-GFP strain. AsHSP104 is on chromosome XII, overlap between retained HSP104 RNA and the URA3 gene was neither expected nor found (Fig. 2B, lower row). Moreover, we consistently ob-
served two distinct nuclear dots per cell with dual SSA4/ HSP104 RNA-FISH analysis, using Cy3-labeled probes directed against both SSA4 and HSP104 RNA (Fig. 2D).

To determine the statistical significance of our observations, the distance between the signals for SSA4 or HSP104 RNA and the BMH1 or URA3 loci were determined for a substantial number of cells from each experiment (the distributions are plotted in Fig. 2C to the right side of the relevant images). Differences between distance distributions for the individual strains were statistically significant \( (P < 0.001) \), indicating that even a difference of 70 kb (the difference between SSA4-URA3 and SSA4-BMH1 physical distances) can be reliably detected.

The \( \Delta \text{rip1} \) mutant is classified as group iii, or late. Because the majority if not all of Rip1p is localized to the cytoplasmic site of the nuclear membrane, it is unlikely to shuttle and is therefore probably a static, nuclear pore-associated protein (Strahm et al. 1999; Rout et al. 2000). We wanted to determine if SSA4 RNA produced in an “early” export mutant would also colocalize to its own site of synthesis. For this purpose, a \( \Delta \text{hpr1} / \text{BMH1-tetO/tetR-GFP} \) strain was constructed. We have previously shown that hS-mRNA is retained in the nucleus of a \( \Delta \text{hpr1} \) strain at 37°C (Libri et al. 2002). Hpr1p is a member of the THO complex, which is thought to act cotranscriptionally and therefore qualifies as an “early” export factor (Strasser et al. 2002; Zenklusen et al. 2002). Newly synthesized SSA4 RNA was linked to the SSA4 locus in the \( \Delta \text{hpr1} / \text{BMH1-tetO/tetR-GFP} \) strain, with a distribution of measured distances very similar to that of SSA4 RNA in the \( \Delta \text{rip1} \) background (Fig. 2E,F; compare distribution to that of Fig. 2C). We conclude that nuclear-retained SSA4 RNA accumulates in close proximity to its site of transcription, independent of whether an “early” or a “late” mRNA export mutant is used.

**FIGURE 1.** Localization of retained SSA4 and poly(A)+ RNA within nuclei of mRNA export mutant cells. (A, B) Triple-color RNA-FISH, SMN-GFP immunolocalization, and DAPI staining analysis on fixed \( \Delta \text{rip1} \) cells after a 30-min incubation at 42°C. SSA4 (A) and poly(A)+ RNA (B) was visualized with Cy3-labeled KD199/KD200 probes and a Cy3-labeled oligo-dT70 probe, respectively. Cells were transformed with a plasmid expressing human SMN-GFP protein, which was visualized with an anti-GFP antibody. DNA was stained with DAPI. (C) Triple-color SSA4 RNA-FISH, Mpp10p immunolocalization, and DAPI staining analysis of the \( \Delta \text{rip1} \) strain treated as in A and B. Mpp10p was detected using an Mpp10p-specific antibody. (D) Triple-color poly(A)+ RNA-FISH, Mpp10p immunolocalization, and DAPI staining analysis on fixed rat7–1 cells after a 30-min incubation at 37°C. Individual images were merged as indicated. (NB) Nucleolar body; (NUC) nucleolus.
FIGURE 2. (Legend on facing page)
Nuclear mRNA localization on deletion of RRP6

We have previously shown that transcript retention in nuclear foci requires components of the nuclear exosome (Hilleren et al. 2001; Jensen et al. 2001a; Libri et al. 2002). For example, addition of an RRP6 deletion (Rrp6p) is strictly nuclear and defines the nuclear exosome; Butler (2002) to a late mRNA export mutant (e.g., Δrip1 or rat7–1) eliminates transcript retention within foci. In such a double-mutant background, mRNA is not retained and presumably proceeds toward the cytoplasm (Hilleren et al. 2001; data not shown). However, an export block at the nuclear envelope persists at the restrictive temperature (Figs. 3, 4; Hilleren et al. 2001).

To assay the localization of a released hs-mRNA, we performed double staining for HSP104 RNA and Mpp10p in the rat7–1/Δrrp6 strain at its restrictive temperature of 37°C. Under these conditions, HSP104 RNA localized to an area of the nucleus largely devoid of DAPI stain but considerably overlapping with Mpp10p staining (Fig. 3, upper panels). Because some dispersion of the Mpp10p signal was evident in this strain background as compared with a wild-type strain (data not shown), we also performed double staining for HSP104 and another nucleolar component, Nop1p. This protein showed a more restricted localization than Mpp10p, indicating that different components of the nucleolus might localize somewhat differently under these conditions (Fig. 3, lower panels). Regardless, the Nop1p signal is contained within the HSP104-stained area of the DNA-excluded part of the nucleus. Thus, the bulk of released HSP104 RNA colocalizes with nucleolar antigens when restricted from entering the cytoplasm. Comparable results were obtained when using a Δrip1/Δrrp6 strain at its restrictive temperature of 42°C, and when assaying for SSA4 RNA instead of HSP104 RNA (data not shown).

In contrast to these two hs-mRNAs, total poly(A)$^+$ RNA localizes to the nuclear periphery in rat7–1/Δrrp6 cells 30 min after the shift to the restrictive temperature, as previously shown (Hilleren et al. 2001). In a rat7–1 single mutant, the poly(A)$^+$ signal shows a strong intranuclear granular staining. These observations have been interpreted to reflect a large number of transcription sites; release coupled with a persistent export block at the nuclear periphery leads to staining of the nuclear rim in the double-mutant strain. We imagined that this phenomenon might allow for the identification of proteins, which associate with the mRNP cotranscriptionally and stay attached to the released mRNP until arrival at the NPC. Such factors should colocalize with poly(A)$^+$ RNA. To test this hypothesis, we used antibodies against the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein Npl3p, which has tested positive in ChIP assays and has been shown to be a major mRNA binding protein in yeast (Flach et al. 1994; Lei et al. 2001). The rat7–1 and rat7–1/Δrrp6 strains were grown at the permissive temperature of 25°C and shifted to the restrictive temperature of 37°C. Cells were then fixed at different times following the temperature shift and subjected to double-poly(A)$^+$ RNA-FISH and Npl3p immunostaining.

At all times and in both strains, poly(A)$^+$ RNA and Npl3p showed considerable overlap (Fig. 4). This observation indicates that the majority of Npl3p in the cell is associated with mRNA. In rat7–1 cells, the poly(A)$^+$ RNA/Npl3p signal remained in intranuclear granules throughout the course of the experiment (Fig. 4, left panels). This pattern was mimicked in the rat7–1/Δrrp6 cells at the 2-min and 5-min time points (Fig. 4, right panels, two upper rows). However, at later times after the temperature shift (i.e., 10 and 30 min), the majority of poly(A)$^+$ RNA and Npl3p was associated with the nuclear periphery (Fig. 4, right panels, two lower rows). Because poly(A)$^+$ RNA and Npl3p move from intranuclear foci to the nuclear periphery with comparable kinetics, we suggest that the observations reflect cotranscriptional recruitment of Npl3p to nascent mRNA followed by migration of the mRNP to the closed nuclear envelope.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have used ts mutants and FISH to analyze mRNA localization and mRNP trafficking within the yeast nucleus. We had previously localized newly synthesized hs-mRNA to an intranuclear dot in several yeast mRNA export mutants (Jensen et al. 2001b). A diploid strain, deleted for
both copies of the RIP1 gene, contained two dots. These observations indicated that localization of the retained RNA was in close proximity to its site of transcription, which is strongly supported by data presented in this paper. We have tested a late (\textit{/H9004 rip1}) export mutant and find significant colocalization of retained SSA4 RNA with tetR-GFP molecules tethered to the nearby BMH1 and UR A3 loci present on the same chromosome. The average distance measured between the SSA4 RNA signal and the tagged loci was significantly smaller than the average distance measured between the BMH1 locus and nuclear-retained HSP104 RNA, expressed from an unlinked locus on chromosome XII. Furthermore, we were able to detect a statistically significant difference in the distances measured between SSA4 RNA and BMH1 compared with the distances measured between SSA4 RNA and UR A3, all of which are on chromosome V. The latter distance is roughly 1.7 times the former, which correlates well with the differences in physical distances (1.4 times). Finally, we have crossed the two GFP-tagged strains and measured the distance between the UR A3 and BMH1 loci; the average distance between the two GFP spots is exactly the sum of the SSA4/UR A3 and SSA4/BM H1 average distances (data not shown). We therefore conclude that the dot within which SSA4 RNA accumulates on transcriptional induction in the \textit{/H9004} strain is at or very near its transcription site. The distribution of distances between SSA4 RNA and the BMH1 locus in the \textit{hpr1} strain mirrors the one observed in the \textit{rip1} strain, strongly indicating that a similar RNA dot also accumulates in "early" export mutants. Although we expect the hsmRNA-containing dots observed in other mRNA export mutants will be similar, the lack of a high resolution view makes it impossible to tell whether all mutants will give rise to identical dots or whether there are subtle differences between the dot induced in \textit{rip1} and the dot induced in \textit{hpr1}. It would be of interest in this context to integrate a second SSA4 gene at different distances from the SSA4 locus, to try to address whether two closely spaced genes make one or two dots.

Although the generality of the dot formation phenomenon still needs to be addressed, we have so far observed it consistently for HSP104, SSA4, and GAL1 RNAs; we have not tried other probes (Jensen et al. 2001b). As all three of these genes are highly inducible, it is possible that robust transcription is required to saturate locally the release system and observe a phenotype. This issue is also relevant to the granular appearance of the poly(A)$^+$ signal under dot conditions, that is, how many transcripts and dots does this reflect: just abundantly transcribed mRNAs or all transcripts? Consistent with the retention of SSA4 RNA close to chromatin, we observe no colocalization of RNA with two other yeast nuclear bodies: the nucleolus and the nucleolar body. It has been reported that conditions of high temperature for

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Localization of HSP104 RNA within nuclei of \textit{rat7-1} and \textit{rat7-1/\textit{\Delta}r p6} cells. (Left panel) HSP104 RNA-FISH on fixed \textit{rat7-1} cells after a 60-min incubation at 42°C. Image was constructed as a comparison to right panels. (Right panels) Triple-color HSP104 RNA-FISH, Mpp10p (upper panels) or Nop1p (lower panels) immunolocalization and DAPI staining analysis in the \textit{rat7-1/\textit{\Delta}r p6} strain after a 60-min incubation at 42°C. HSP104 RNA, Mpp10p, and DNA were visualized as described in the legend to Figure 2. Nop1p was detected using a Nop1p-specific antibody.}
\end{figure}
prolonged time (2–3 h) disassembles the nucleolus, causing several nucleolar proteins to relocate to the cytoplasm (Liu et al. 1996). Indeed, we also observe some dispersion of nucleolar antigens (Mpp10p and Nop1p) on a temperature shift, whereas the morphology of the SMN-GFP signal is undisturbed (data not shown). However, by restricting the incubation time at 42°C to 1h or less, we were able to obtain cells with distinct hs-mRNA signals and well-defined nucleoli.

On deletion of RRP6 in the rat7–1 export mutant background, a majority of nuclear-restricted SSA4 and HSP104 hs-mRNA now accumulate in a subregion of the nucleus, which overlaps with the nucleolar markers Mpp10p and Nop1p. The localization is not absolute, consistent with the fact that some Hsp104p protein synthesis continues in the rat7–1/Δrrp6 strain on heat shock (Hilleren et al. 2001). Nonetheless, there is a clear difference in this strain between the two hs-mRNAs localizations and bulk poly(A)+ RNA. The latter is primarily detected at the nuclear envelope, as previously described (Hilleren et al. 2001). Perhaps the difference indicates that hs-mRNA normally follows a nucleolar exit route. Another possibility is that the high abundance of the hs-mRNAs gives rise to an aberrant nucleolar accumulation in the mutant strain background. The transcriptional response to heat shock is extremely robust; that is, large amounts of hs-mRNA are rapidly synthesized. Perhaps as a consequence, most if not all of this RNA is aberrant in the export mutant strains, evident by the long poly(A)-tails.

FIGURE 4. Localization of poly(A)+ RNA and Npl3p within nuclei of rat7–1 and rat7–1/Δrrp6 cells. Dual-color poly(A)+ RNA FISH and Npl3p immunolocalization in rat7–1 (left columns) and rat7–1/Δrrp6 (right columns) strains after a 2-, 5-, 10-, and 30-min incubation at 37°C. Poly(A)+ RNA and Npl3p were visualized using a Cy3-labeled oligo(dT)20, and an Npl3p-specific antibody, respectively. DAPI stain of both sets of cells from the 30-min time point was merged to the poly(A)+ and Npl3p signals (lower rows).
in the \textit{rat7–1} and \textit{Δrip1} backgrounds (Jensen et al. 2001b). Such aberrant and abundant hs-mRNA might overwhelm the nucleoplasmic degradation as well as transport systems and lead in some enigmatic way to nucleolar accumulation. In this view, less abundant (and perhaps less aberrant) mRNA species might continue on their normal path toward the nuclear envelope.

Npl3p, which is a putative structural component of functional mRNP's (Lee et al. 1996) associates with bulk poly(A)$^+$ RNA, and Npl3p antibodies are capable of precipitating chromatin in ChIP experiments (Lei et al. 2001). Indeed, our time course experiment in the \textit{rat7–1/Δrip6} strain indicates that Npl3p is recruited to these RNAs co-transcriptionally and stays associated at least until their arrival at the nuclear rim (Fig. 4). This observation appears at odds with recent in vivo cross-linking studies, which indicated that Npl3p no longer associates with non-hs poly(A)$^+$ RNA under heat shock conditions (Krebb et al. 1999). One possibility is that the RNA at the nuclear rim is largely hs-mRNA, that is, only a subset of hs-mRNA (including SSA4 and \textit{HSP104}) heads to the nucleolus in the double-mutant strains. This begs the question, what fraction of the nuclear poly(A)$^+$ signal reflects hs-mRNA under heat shock conditions? We favor the simplest answer, namely, a small fraction. This means that the nucleolus–nuclear rim distinction largely divides the nuclear RNA population into heat shock and non-heat shock subsets. To reconcile this interpretation with the Npl3p cross-linking results, we suggest that the changes in Npl3p interactions with non hs-mRNAs on heat shock reflect relatively subtle changes in cross-linking efficiency rather than association per se.

A possible distinction between hs- and non-hs-mRNA at the level of mRNA export is controversial, and we have favored the notion that there is little difference between the export pathway taken by hs- and non-hs-mRNAs (Vainberg et al. 2000). The different localization results shown here for two hs-mRNAs on the one hand and poly(A)$^+$ RNA on the other indicate that there is indeed some differences in mRNP composition or arrangement between mRNP populations that might impact nuclear trafficking events. Whether these differences only reflect the mutant circumstances or are also true in wild-type cells is a matter for further investigations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains**

Yeast strains used in this study are shown in Table 1. \textit{Δrip1} strains expressing TetR-GFP and harbouring tetO operator sites inserted at the \textit{BMH1} and \textit{URA3} loci, respectively, were generated by genetic crosses between \textit{Δrip1} and the K6745 and K7022 strains (Ciosk et al. 1998). The \textit{Δhpr1}/BMH1-tetO/tetR-GFP strain was constructed by crossing \textit{Δhpr1} to K7022.

**In situ hybridization and immunolocalization analysis**

Fixation and preparation of cells for FISH analysis were done as previously described (Jensen et al. 2001b). RNAs were detected using the following previously described Cy3-labeled oligonucleotide probes: \textit{THJ203, THJ204, THJ205}, and \textit{THJ206} for \textit{HSP104}, \textit{KD199} and \textit{KD200} for \textit{SSA4}, and a Cy3-labeled oligo(dT)$_{70}$ for poly(A)$^+$ RNA, respectively (Jensen et al. 2001b).

For combined RNA-FISH/immunolocalization analysis, cells were first processed for FISH as described (Jensen et al. 2001b). Instead of mounting immediately, cells were washed once for 5 min at room temperature in wash buffer (PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100). Subsequently, cells were incubated for 30–60 min at 37°C with relevant primary antibody diluted in wash buffer, followed by three washes in wash buffer for 5 min at room temperature. After the final wash, relevant secondary antibody diluted in wash buffer was added for 30–60 min at 37°C, again followed by three washes in wash buffer for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, cells were mounted in mounting media as described (Jensen et al. 2001b).

**Imaging**

Images were acquired on an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with a cooled Olympus DP50 CCD camera and analySIS software.

### Table 1. \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yRP1579</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} rat7–1 cup1::LEU2PM his3Δ200 his4–539 leu2Δ1 or leu2-3,112</td>
<td>Hilleren et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yRP1589</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} rat7–1 rip6::URA3 cup1::LEU2PM ura3–52 leu2Δ1 or leu2-3,112</td>
<td>Hilleren et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yRP1586</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} rip1::KAN ade2–1 ura3–1 his3–11,15 leu2–3,112 trp1–1</td>
<td>Hilleren et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yRP1587</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} rip1::KAN rip6::URA3 leu2–3,112 trp1–1 ura3–3</td>
<td>Hilleren et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W303Δrip1</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} rip1::KAN ade2 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3</td>
<td>Stutz et al. 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W303Δrip1/URA3-tetO/tetR-GFP</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} or MAT\text{a} rip1::KAN ura3::URA3tetO112 leu2::LEU2 tetR-GFP trp1 his3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W303Δrip1/BMH1-tetO/tetR-GFP</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} or MAT\text{a} rip1::KAN bnh1::URA2tetO224 leu2::LEU2 tetR-GFP trp1 his3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W303Δhpr1/BMH1-tetO/tetR-GFP</td>
<td>MAT\text{a} or MAT\text{a} hpr1::KAN bnh1::URA2tetO224 leu2::LEU2 tetR-GFP trp1 his3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Image handling was done in Adobe Photoshop. Distances between RNA and GFP-labeled DNA signals in the Δrip1 strain were measured for 74 cells (BMHI-SSA4 RNA), 92 cells (URA3 l-SSA4 RNA), and 36 cells (URA3-HSP104 RNA). In the hpr1 strain 18 cells (BMHI-SSA4 RNA) were analyzed. A student test was applied to assess the statistical significance of differences in the four sets of data. All distributions were statistically different (P < 0.001), with the exception of the BMHI-SSA4 RNA distance in Δrip1 compared with the BMHI-SSA4 RNA distance in Δhpr1.
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