Deprivation-Induced Strengthening of Presynaptic and Postsynaptic Inhibitory Transmission in Layer 4 of Visual Cortex during the Critical Period
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Inhibition from fast-spiking (FS) interneurons plays a crucial role in shaping cortical response properties and gating developmental periods of activity-dependent plasticity, yet the expression mechanisms underlying FS inhibitory plasticity remain largely unexplored. In layer 4 of visual cortex (V1), monocular deprivation (MD) induces either depression or potentiation of FS to star pyramidal neuron (FS→SP) synapses, depending on the age of onset (Maffei et al., 2004, 2006). This reversal in the sign (→ +) of plasticity occurs on the cusp of the canonical critical period (CP). To investigate the expression locus behind this switch in sign of inhibitory plasticity, mice underwent MD during the pre-CP [eye-opening to postnatal day (p)17] or CP (p22–p25), and FS→SP synaptic strength within layer 4 was assessed using confocal and immunoelectron microscopy, as well as optogenetic activation of FS cells to probe quantal amplitude at FS→SP synapses. Brief MD before p17 or p25 did not alter the density of FS→SP contacts. However, at the ultrastructural level, FS→SP synapses in deprived hemispheres during the CP, but not the pre-CP or in GAD<sub>65</sub> knock-out mice, had larger synapses and increased docked vesicle density compared with synapses from the nondeprived control hemispheres. Moreover, FS→SP evoked miniature IPSCs increased in deprived hemispheres when MD was initiated during the CP, accompanied by an increase in the density of postsynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors at FS→SP synapses. These coordinated changes in FS→SP synaptic strength define an expression pathway modulating excitatory output during CP plasticity in visual cortex.
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Introduction

Fast-spiking (FS) GABAergic interneurons are central regulators of cortical excitability whose rapid feedforward and feedback control over pyramidal cell firing can sharpen neuronal response properties, modulate cortical rhythms, and gate developmental periods of activity-dependent plasticity (Whittington et al., 1995; Huang et al., 1999; Gabbita et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2012). In keeping with these important roles in cortical function, the strength of FS synapses can be regulated in an activity-dependent manner to fine-tune inhibition during periods of robust circuit maturation, such as the visual system critical period (CP; Chattopadhyaya et al., 2004; Maffei et al., 2006). Determining how this inhibitory plasticity is expressed has important consequences for understanding how experience modulates neocortical circuit function, yet the underlying expression mechanisms remain poorly defined. Here we sought to determine how disruptions of visual function affect the strength of FS interneuron to star pyramidal (FS→SP) synapses within layer 4 of primary visual cortex (V1).

FS interneurons have a protracted period of postnatal development in primary sensory cortex, reaching an adult-like physiological and anatomical state 3–4 weeks after birth (Okaty et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2010; Lazarus and Huang, 2011; Pangratz-Fuehrer and Hestrin, 2011). Interestingly, FS→SP long-term depression (LTD), long-term potentiation (LTP), and spike timing-dependent plasticity are expressed at distinct stages along this postnatal timeline, suggesting that inhibitory plasticity is tuned to interneuron identity and age of the cortex (Maffei et al., 2004, 2006; Yazaki-Sugiyama et al., 2009; Lamsa et al., 2010; House et al., 2011; Lefort et al., 2013). A striking example of such developmental regulation of FS→SP plasticity is observed in L4 of V1 in response to short-duration (2–3 d) monocular deprivation (MD). This paradigm induces depression at FS→SP synapses during an early “pre-critical period” (CP; Maffei et al., 2004), but potentiation during the CP (Maffei et al., 2006). This reversal in the sign (→ +) of plasticity occurs on the cusp of the canonical CP, and potentiation of inhibition during the CP likely contributes to the cortical response depression observed after brief MD (Maffei et al., 2006). Uncovering the unique expression mechanism(s) that underlie this form of inhibitory plasticity will aid in understanding how FS interneurons contribute to the dynamic modulation of cortical responses.
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To determine the expression mechanisms of FS→SP plasticity, we monoculturally deprived mice for 3 d during either the pre-CP or the CP. We then quantified changes in presynaptic and postsynaptic function, using a combination of confocal and immunoelectron microscopy, as well as optogenetic activation of FS cells to probe quantal amplitude at FS→SP synapses. Our data show that MD during the CP (but not the pre-CP) produces coordinated changes in presynaptic and postsynaptic function that cooperate to enhance FS→SP synaptic strength. Further, we show that a genetic manipulation that prevents the initiation of CP plasticity [GAD65 knockout (GAD65KO)] prevents these MD-induced changes in FS→SP synaptic strength. These findings identify an expression pathway modulating FS synaptic strength during CP plasticity in visual cortex.

Materials and Methods

All experimental procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Brandeis University and followed the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health.

Animals. Male C57BL/6J mice between postnatal day (p)15 and p26 were used throughout confocal and immunoelectron microscopy experiments (referred to as wild-type) unless otherwise stated. Male homozygous mice lacking the 65 kDa isoform of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD65KO mice; Hensch et al., 1998) were used at ages commensurate with wild-type experiments as stated in Results. Mice expressing a loxp-flanked STOP cassette upstream of the ChR2(H134R)-EYFP fusion gene (Ai32 line, stock #012569; Jackson Laboratories) were mated to a line of mice from approximately p12 to p14 and were then deprived for 3 d until opening times that varied by 1.5–2 d, mice had their right eye sutured and collected on either 200 mesh Cu or Ni Formvar-coated EM grids. To mention on an ultramicrotome (EM UC7, Leica Microsystems) at 70 nm, sections were then cured in a 55–57°C oven until blocks obtained the desired consistency. Cured blocks were carefully trimmed to a ≤1 mm × 0.3 mm trapezoid centered in layer 4 of V1m. Next, blocks were sectioned in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.05% NaN3 in PBS within glass scintillation vials for 36–48 h on a rotating shaker at room temperature. After primary incubation, sections were washed three times in PBS and then incubated in secondary antibodies containing 1% BSA for 2 h on a rotating shaker at room temperature. Primary antibodies consisted of rabbit anti-parvalbumin (1:7000, PV28; Swant), mouse anti-NeuN (1:700, MAB377; Millipore), and mouse anti-GFP (for EYFP-tagged ChR2; 1:400, A11210; Invitrogen). Secondary antibodies consisted of anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (1:400; Invitrogen) and anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 (1:400; Invitrogen).

Confocal microscopy and analysis. Labeled sections were mounted on slides with an anti-fade medium (Fluoromount-G; SouthernBiotech), coverslipped, and imaged on a Leica SP2 or SP5. Image stacks were obtained using a 63× 1.4 NA PLAN APO oil-immersion objective, at a zoom of 2–3×, an optical section height (z-step) of 0.2 μm, and at 1024 × 1024 resolution. These parameters enabled sampling above the Nyquist criterion for FS parvalbumin-immunoreactive (PV-ir) perisomatic boutons with average cross section areas of ~0.33 μm² (see Fig. 2B) and volumes in excess of 1 μm³ (see Fig. 2C). To determine the density of PV-ir contacts surrounding NeuN-labeled pyramidal somata, we first drew masks that were ~2 μm from the borders of labeled pyramidal somata and used these masks as our somatic perimeter measurement. Next, we superimposed these masks onto single images of thresholded PV-ir labeling from the same optical sections (Li Threshold, ImageJ; Schneider et al., 2012). Within each image stack we randomly selected 4–5 pyramidal somata, drawing masks around each soma at least four times in optical sections spaced 10 μm apart in the z-dimension, through the depth of the stack. Thresholded PV-ir signal within the pyramidal masks were considered putative synaptic contacts. We initially compared an analysis of 5 stacks in which perisomatic PV-ir contacts were counted by eye, to the identical analysis performed by a constrained particle analysis function in ImageJ. There were no significant differences between these two analyses (p = 0.6, Kruskal–Wallis (KS) test; data not shown), and the average putative synaptic contact densities from both analyses were corroborated by counts of identified perisomatic synapses under immunoelectron microscopy (see Fig. 2A). We therefore used the particle analysis function to determine contact density for all confocal experiments. In total, each developmental and MD time point consisted of 3 animals × 5 image stacks/animal × 5 cells/stack × 5 optical sections/cell = 75 cells (375 optical soma sections) per experimental time point. Putative synaptic PV-ir contact density was calculated for each soma as follows: Total soma section contacts/Total soma perimeter × 50 (normalization to average soma perimeter).

Immunoelectron microscopy. Animals were perfused and sections were prepared as for confocal microscopy. After rinsing, sections were incubated in rabbit anti-parvalbumin (1:1000, PV28; Swant) in a solution containing 1% BSA and 0.05% NaN3 in PBS for at least 48 h on a rotating shaker at room temperature. Next, sections were rinsed in PBS and then incubated in anti-rabbit 1.4 nm-Au secondary (1:100, catalog #2003, Nanoprobes) in 1% BSA and 0.05% NaN3 in PBS overnight on a rotating shaker at room temperature. Then, sections were rinsed in PBS, incubated in 2% glutaraldehyde in dH2O for 15 min, and then rinsed in citrate buffer, pH 6.5, and dH2O. Finally, sections were immersed in a solution containing 0.05% sodium citrate, pH 6.5, and dH2O, and prepared for electron microscopy (EM) processing. EM processing was performed according to previously published protocols (Nahmani and Erisir, 2005; Coleman et al., 2010). Briefly, sections were dehydrated in a series of ethanol dilutions and acetone and embedded in an epoxy resin. Resin blocks containing V1m sections were then cured in a 55–57°C oven until blocks obtained the desired consistency. Cured blocks were carefully trimmed to a ≤1 mm × 0.3 mm trapezoid centered in layer 4 of V1m. Next, blocks were sectioned on an ultramicrotome (EM UC7, Leica Microsystems) at 70 nm, and collected on either 200 mesh Cu or Ni Formvar-coated EM grids. To perform postembedding for GABA_A receptor (GABA_AR) γ-2-subunits, sections were collected on Ni Formvar-coated slot grids and then washed in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min. Grids were then washed several times in TBS and then incubated in mouse monoclonal anti-γ2 primary antibody (1:200, catalog #MAB263; Millipore), and mouse anti-GFP (for EYFP-tagged ChR2;
Electron microscopy image analysis. Sections were prepared for EM and imaged on either a Morgagni (FEI) or F20 (FEI) electron microscope at a magnification of 13–32K, using a 1k × 1k or 2k × 2k digital camera, corresponding to final pixel sizes of 1.5–0.6 nm. For FS→SP synaptic ultrastructure analyses, somata with pyramidal morphology were randomly chosen within layer 4, and PV-ir perisomatic boutons were deemed synaptic if the following conditions were met: (1) there was parallel alignment of presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes, (2) presence of at least 3 presynaptic vesicles, and (3) presence of a visible post-synaptic density. PV-ir terminals were considered “PV-positive” if their gold particle density was >99th percentile for gold labeling density within the cytoplasmin of abutting pyramidal neurons considered nonspecific labeling [average gold density (particles/μm²): p17 terminals = 43 ± 0.4 vs p17 somata = 1 ± 0.2; p25 terminals = 69 ± 5 vs p25 somata = 2 ± 0.2]. Presynaptic vesicles were deemed “ docked” if they were ≤2 nm from the presynaptic membrane (Fernández-Busnadiego et al., 2010; Sikou et al., 2011), and considered part of the readily releasable pool if they were within 200 nm of the presynaptic membrane (Darcy et al., 2006). Reconstruct software was used for PV-ir FS terminal serial EM reconstructions (Fiala, 2005; Harris et al., 2006). For GABA-R y2-subunit analysis, PV-positive terminals were identified as described above, while y2-gold labeling was deemed synaptic if the center of gold particles was within 40 nm in axo-somatic distance from the centroid of the synaptic cleft. This distance was chosen based on the maximal distance that a membrane or postsynaptic density-bound gold label could extend into or away from the average-sized FS→SP synaptic cleft (≤20 nm), given the size of the primary and secondary antibodies coupled to a 5 nm gold particle (≤15–22 nm) and thickness of the membranes (≤5–7 nm; Werner et al., 1972; Hainfeld and Furuya, 1992; Harris et al., 1992). In addition, gold particles were only deemed synaptic if they were contained within (post)synaptic or directly parallel to (presynaptic) the postsynaptic density. GABA-R y2-subunit density was calculated as follows: number of gold particles/length of postsynaptic density.

Electrophysiology. Coronal brain slices (300 μm) were obtained from ChPV+/- mice as previously described (Maffei et al., 2006, 2010). Chilled artificial CSF (ACSF) was continuously oxygenated and contained the following in (mM): 126 NaCl, 3 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 1 NaH2PO4, 25 NaHCO3, 2 CaCl2, and 25 dextrose. Coronal slices were sectioned on a VT1000S vibrating microtome (Leica Microsystems), placed on a semi-permeable membrane, and incubated in continuously oxygenated ACSF at 37°C for 30 min, and then incubated at room temperature until they remained stable and were not significantly different from p17 levels at either p22 or p25 (p = 0.2, Tukey’s post hoc test). Throughout all of our experiments, analyses were performed in layer 4 of V1m, enabling us to use the ipsilateral nondeprived V1m hemisphere in the same animals as control tissue. Here and for all experiments described below, data were analyzed blind to experimental condition.

To record FS→SP miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs), slices were placed in the recording chamber and incubated in strychnine ACSF at 34°C containing (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.3 MgCl2, 1 NaH2PO4, 25 NaHCO3, 4 CaCl2, and 25 dextrose. Coronal slices were sectioned on a VT1000S vibrating microtome (Leica Microsystems), placed on a semi-permeable membrane, and incubated in continuously oxygenated ACSF at 37°C for 30 min, and then incubated at room temperature until they were placed in a recording chamber.

To record FS→SP miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs), slices were placed in the recording chamber and incubated in strychnine ACSF at 34°C containing (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.3 MgCl2, 1 NaH2PO4, 25 NaHCO3, 4 CaCl2, and 25 dextrose. Coronal slices were sectioned on a VT1000S vibrating microtome (Leica Microsystems), placed on a semi-permeable membrane, and incubated in continuously oxygenated ACSF at 37°C for 30 min, and then incubated at room temperature until they were placed in a recording chamber.

Statistics. All results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Wilcoxon non-parametric tests were performed on all comparisons unless otherwise noted, with significance levels set at p < 0.05.

Results
FS→SP contact density parallels functional maturation
FS synapses in rodent V1 undergo significant maturation in the first weeks of life (Chattopadhyaya et al., 2004; Okaty et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2010; Pangratz-Fuehrer and Hestrin, 2011). To characterize the morphological correlates of this maturation, we began by quantifying the developmental and experience-dependent regulation of the number of putative synaptic contacts between FS interneuron and star pyramidal cells (FS→SP) in layer 4 of V1m (Fig. 1A). Parvalbumin-immunoreactive (PV-ir) FS interneurons in V1 form perisomatic basket-like terminals around pyramidal somata and proximal dendrites, ensheathing these cells in a net of boutons and en-passant synapses (Hollander and Vanegas, 1981; DeFelipe and Fairén, 1982; Somogyi et al., 1983; Czeiger and White, 1997; Fig. 1B, C). We used confocal microscopy to quantify the density of PV-ir FS putative synaptic contacts onto star pyramid neuron somata within single optical sections, identified using the layer 4 pyramidal-specific somatic marker NeuN (Chattopadhyaya et al., 2004; Fig. 1C; see Materials and Methods). Throughout all of our experiments, analyses were performed in layer 4 of V1m, enabling us to use the ipsilateral nondeprived V1m hemisphere in the same animals as control tissue. Here and for all experiments described below, data were analyzed blind to experimental condition.

Just after eye opening at p15, layer 4 pyramidal neurons were contacted by 5.3 ± 0.5 FS puncta per 50 μm of somatic perimeter (Fig. 1D). By p17, FS contact density increased significantly to ~136% of p15 levels (p < 0.001, one-way ANOVA; p = 0.002 for p15 vs p17, Tukey’s post hoc test). After p17, contact numbers remained stable and were not significantly different from p17 levels at either p22 or p25 (p ≥ 0.2, Tukey’s post hoc test). This developmental profile for FS contact density nicely matches the intrinsic physiological maturation of these FS interneurons (Okaty et al., 2009) and the development of GABAergic synaptic strength onto layer 4 pyramidal neurons in mouse V1 (Jiang et al., 2010).

Brief MD has no effect on FS→SP contact density
Brief MD depresses FS→SP synaptic strength during the pre-CP, but potentiates transmission at this synapse during the CP (Maffei et al., 2004, 2006, 2010). Moreover, these changes in strength are accompanied by changes in the coefficient of variation (CV), suggesting a presynaptic component to this form of inhibitory plasticity (Maffei et al., 2006). Interestingly, these changes in CV are not accompanied by changes in short-term plasticity, suggesting they do not arise through changes in release probability (Maffei et al., 2004, 2006). One straightforward explanation for these results is that MD decreases the number of FS→SP connections before CP onset, and has the opposite effect during the CP. To examine this possibility, we performed MD on wild-type mice for 3 d during the pre-CP (from just before eye opening to p15–p17), and during the classical visual system CP (from p22/23 to p25/26; Fig. 1A). Since eye opening varied within and across litters by ~2 d (p12–p14), pre-CP brains were examined between p15 and p17. Three days of MD had no effect on FS→SP contact density between the control and deprived hemispheres at either p17 (p = 0.4) or p25 (p = 0.5; Fig. 1E). These data suggest that brief MD does not modulate synaptic strength by changing the number of FS→SP contacts at either developmental stage.
MD at p25 increases the density of docked vesicles at FS→SP synapses

A change in the CV could be caused by changes in the number of functional release sites per bouton, even if bouton density does not change. Accordingly, we monocularly deprived mice as above and performed immuno-EM to visualize the fine structure of FS→SP synapses. To examine FS→SP synaptic ultrastructure, pyramidal somata were chosen at random within embedded ultrathin sections of layer 4 V1m, and high-magnification images were taken of synaptic PV-ir perisomatic FS→SP synapses (Fig. 2A,B). We examined these synapses for morphological correlates of synaptic strength such as the size of the readily releasable pool (vesicles ≤200 nm from the presynaptic membrane) and number of presynaptic docked vesicles (Murthy et al., 2001; Branco et al., 2010; Holderith et al., 2012), as well as the size of the postsynaptic density (Rutledge, 1978; Desmond and Levy, 1983; Ostroff et al., 2002; Harris et al., 2003). In addition, we reconstructed several FS boutons by serial section-EM and found that single FS PV-ir terminals often covered large perisomatic regions (Fig. 2C), confirming our approach of classifying contiguous labeled regions as single FS→SP putative synapses at confocal resolution (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 1 B, C).

In the control hemisphere of wild-type animals, both synapse cross-section length (121%) and presynaptic terminal area (119%) increased over postnatal development (p17 vs p25, p = 0.005 for synapse length; terminal area: p17, 0.27 ± 0.02 μm² vs p25, 0.33 ± 0.02 μm², p = 0.006; n = 65 and 89, respectively; Fig. 3A). Interestingly, although the size of the readily releasable pool increased with terminal size at p25 (150%, p = 0.008), the number and density of docked vesicles remained steady over development (p = 0.67 and 0.08, respectively; Fig. 3 B, C). Thus, although the density of FS→SP putative synapses does not increase significantly from p17 to p25, over the same developmental period these synapses grow larger and are endowed with a larger vesicle pool.

Next, we asked whether MD alters FS synaptic ultrastructure. During the pre-CP, brief MD had no effect on any of the morphological features of FS synapses we measured, including terminal area, synapse length, and the number of docked vesicles (control, n = 66; deprived, n = 65 synapses, p ≥ 0.2; Fig. 3A–C). In contrast, in animals deprived for 3 d during the CP, FS→SP synapses in the deprived hemisphere had larger postsynaptic densities (control vs deprived, n = 89 and n = 95, respectively; p = 0.004; Fig. 3A), increased numbers of docked vesicles per FS terminal (p = 0.001; Fig. 3B), and a commensurate increase in the density of docked vesicles at those larger synapses (p = 0.04; Fig. 3D). Hence, brief MD during the CP increases the number of presynaptic release sites, which likely contributes to the MD-induced potentiation of transmission at this synapse (Maffei et al., 2006).

To determine whether these experience-dependent changes in FS synapse morphology were tied to the onset of the CP, we monocularly deprived GAD65KO mice and measured the same synaptic parameters. These mice are profoundly deficient in the rate-limiting enzyme for GABA synthesis in presynaptic terminals (Hensch et al., 1998). In contrast to the identical manipulation in wild-type animals, brief MD during the CP did not alter FS→SP synapse length in GAD65KO mice (control vs deprived, n = 66 and 64, respectively, p = 0.2; Fig. 3A). In addition, rather than displaying an increase in docked vesicles, FS synaptic terminals from the deprived hemispheres of GAD65KO mice had significantly decreased numbers of docked vesicles compared with control hemispheres (p = 0.03; Fig. 3B). Surpris-
MD during the CP increases FS$\rightarrow$SP evoked mIPSCs

In addition to changing the CV, MD-induced potentiation of FS$\rightarrow$SP transmission during the CP is associated with an increase in the number of open GABA$\_A$Rs during evoked IPSCs (Maffei et al., 2006), suggesting a potential change in the number of synaptic GABA$\_A$Rs. If an increase in the number of postsynaptic receptors contributes to the enhancement in synaptic transmission at these synapses after MD, then quantal amplitude should also increase after MD. To selectively probe for changes in quantal

Figure 2. Examination of FS$\rightarrow$SP synaptic ultrastructure. A, Low-magnification electron micrograph showing a layer 4 pyramidal soma (white outline) contacted by eight PV-ir perisomatic synaptic terminals (asterisks; silver-enhanced gold labeling). B, Representative images of PV-ir FS$\rightarrow$SP synapses at EM resolution in control and deprived hemispheres at p17 (wild-type) and p25 (wild-type and GAD$\_65$KO). Lower “colorized” panels are duplicates of those above, highlighting FS boutons (green), postsynaptic densities (red), and docked vesicles (blue). Note that the PV-ir silver-enhanced gold particles within each bouton do not prevent analyses of synaptic ultrastructure. C, Serial-section three-dimensional reconstruction of a synaptic PV-ir perisomatic bouton. C1, PV-ir bouton (green) displaying both undocked (red) and docked vesicles (blue), and forming two synapses (red) with a pyramidal soma (data not shown). C2, Same bouton as in C1, displaying only docked vesicles. Scale bars: A, 2 $\mu$m; B, 200 nm; C, 300 nm.
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ingly, FS terminals from deprived hemispheres in these mice contained approximately half the readily releasable vesicular pool of their control hemisphere counterparts ($p < 0.0001$; Fig. 3C), yet had similarly sized presynaptic terminals (control, 0.33 ± 0.02 $\mu$m$^2$; deprived, 0.31 ± 0.02 $\mu$m$^2$; $p = 0.1$). Together, these findings indicate that the same factors that prevent GAD$\_65$KO mice from displaying MD-induced CP plasticity are sufficient to prevent the expression of MD-induced FS$\rightarrow$SP morphological plasticity during the CP.
amplitude at FS→SP synapses without activating other classes of GABAergic inputs, we expressed ChR2 in PV-containing FS cells. We then recorded evoked quantal mIPSCs from postsynaptic L4 star pyramidal neurons in the presence of strontium to desynchronize release. To selectively express ChR2 in FS cells, we mated a line of mice expressing Cre-recombinase exclusively in PV-ir FS somata (PVcre) to a line expressing a floxed STOP cassette upstream of an EYFP-tagged ChR2 (ChR2 (H134R), Ai32 mice; Jackson Laboratories). At p26, mice heterozygous for the Chr2 allele (hereafter termed ChPV+/− mice) had nearly 100% overlap (99.3 ± 0.72%, n = 123 cells from 2 animals) between the Chr2 EYFP-reporter and an anti-parvalbumin (PV) antibody within layer 4 V1m interneuron somata (Fig. 4A, B).

To examine the effects of brief MD on FS→SP mIPSCs, we monocularly deprived ChPV+/− mice from p22/3–p25/6 and cut acute coronal slices containing V1m. We then stimulated FS cells with brief pulse trains from a 473 nm laser (Fig. 4D) while recording from L4 star pyramidal neurons; each pulse evoked a large inhibitory current followed by desynchronized individual mIPSCs (Fig. 4E). The frequency of these evoked mIPSCs was significantly elevated (∼3.5-fold) over spontaneous mIPSC frequency (Fig. 4F,G). However, mIPSC rise time (p = 1.0), decay time (p = 0.1), and amplitude (p = 0.5) were not significantly different between spontaneous and evoked events (Fig. 4H–K), suggesting that the evoked events were indeed quantal. This similarity between evoked and spontaneous mIPSCs also suggests that the majority of detectable spontaneous mIPSCs onto L4 star pyramidal neurons may arise from FS inputs.

Next, we compared the evoked mIPSCs from deprived and control hemispheres. There were no significant differences in evoked mIPSC frequency (p = 1.0), rise time (p = 0.8), or decay time (p = 0.3) between hemispheres (Fig. 5B–E), or in pyramidal cell passive properties between conditions (deprived, Rm = 83 ± 15%, R = 96 ± 4% of control values, p = 0.63 and 0.37, respectively). In contrast, evoked mIPSC amplitudes from deprived hemispheres were significantly larger (∼53%) than in control hemispheres (p = 0.04; n = 8 cells/condition; Fig. 5F–H). Cumulative amplitude histograms of mIPSC amplitude also showed a significant shift toward larger amplitude values (p < 0.001, KS test; Fig. 5G). In sum, a relatively brief loss of patterned visual drive during the CP induces an increase in quantal amplitude at FS→SP synapses.

**GABAAR density at FS→SP synapses increases following MD during the CP**

As there was no change in control versus deprived evoked mIPSC kinetics, we surmised the most likely explanation for an increase in mIPSC amplitude during the CP to be increased GABAAR density at FS→SP synapses. To investigate this possibility, we again used immunoelectron microscopy to locate and image FS→SP synapses, with the addition of a small gold label to visualize the γ2-subunit of the GABAAR (Essrich et al., 1998). The γ2-subunit is obligatory for clustering of GABAARs at inhibitory synapses, therefore this method allowed for the localization and analysis of GABAAR density at FS perisomatic synapses (Essrich et al., 1998).

FS synapses in control and deprived hemispheres contained both presynaptic and postsynaptic GABAAR γ2-subunits that tended to coalesce at the presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes (Fig. 6A,B), consistent with previous reports (Kullmann et al., 2005; Luscher et al., 2011). We first analyzed the axosomatic (presynaptic vs postsynaptic) distribution of gold-labeled γ2-subunits at identified FS→SP synapses. If MD selectively increases postsynaptic GABAAR density, one might expect a shift in the distribution of synaptic GABAARs toward the postsynaptic membrane. Indeed, MD induced a shift in the distribution of synaptic receptors toward the postsynaptic membrane compared with the distribution from the control hemispheres (p = 0.02, Wilcoxon; p = 0.003, KS test; Fig. 6C). In contrast, MD had no effect on the radial distribution of receptors along the length of the postsynaptic density (p = 0.6, Wilcoxon; p = 0.3, KS test; Fig. 6D), indicating a relatively homogenous distribution of GABAARs from the centroid to the lateral edge of the postsynaptic density at these synapses.

In addition to GABAAR distribution, we quantified the density of GABAAR γ2-subunits in both control and deprived FS→SP synapses. As before, pyramidal cells within layer 4 were
Figure 4. Evoked FS→SP mIPSCs. A, Collage of a three-dimensional projection from a confocal stack, showing a single FS interneuron double-labeled for EYFP-tagged ChR2 (green) and PV (red), and the merge of these two images. Arrow points to basket-like puncta. B, Single optical section showing colocalization of EYFP and PV-ir labels in basket-like perisomatic puncta. C, Diagram of the experimental paradigm used for ChR2-evoked FS→SP mIPSC recordings. D, Representative trace showing evoked spikes in a FS interneuron following 5 ms laser pulses. E, Representative trace of evoked mIPSCs (asterisks) recorded in strontium from a single star pyramidal neuron following a 5 ms laser pulse. Note that the large evoked inward current is followed by desynchronized and temporally separable mIPSCs. F, Average spontaneous (diamonds) and evoked (triangles) mIPSC frequency from pyramidal cells in control hemispheres. G, Spontaneous and evoked mean mIPSC frequency from control hemispheres. H, Spontaneous and evoked mean mIPSC 10–90% (Figure legend continues.)
chosen at random, and the number of γ2-gold particles 40 nm presynaptic or postsynaptic from the center of the synaptic cleft were quantified at labeled PV-ir FS synapses. This distance was chosen based on the maximal distance that a membrane or postsynaptic density-bound gold label could extend into or away from the average-sized FS→SP synaptic cleft (~20 nm), given the size of the primary and secondary antibodies coupled to a 5–7 nm gold particle (~15–22 nm) and thickness of the membrane/postsynaptic density (5–7 nm; Werner et al., 1972; Hainfeld and Furuuya, 1992; Harris et al., 1992). Presynaptic GABAAR γ2-subunit density (number of gold particles 40 nm presynaptic from center of cleft/synapse length) was not significantly altered following MD (p = 0.3; Fig. 6E). However, postsynaptic GABAAR γ2-subunit density (number of gold particles 40 nm postsynaptic from center of cleft/synapse length) was significantly increased at FS→SP synapses of deprived hemispheres after MD (p = 0.02; Fig. 6F). Hence, brief MD beginning at the cusp of the canonical CP results in increased FS→SP quantal amplitude and a commensurate increase in postsynaptic GABAARs.

**Discussion**

Tight regulation of FS inhibition is critical in shaping cortical response properties, generating cortical rhythms, and modulating cortical sensory maps (Huang et al., 1999; Gabernet et al., 2005; de la Rocha et al., 2008; Sohal et al., 2009; House et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Experience-dependent plasticity of FS synapses contributes to this regulation, but the expression mechanisms that tune FS synaptic strength are poorly understood. Here we show that MD-induced FS→SP inhibitory plasticity in layer 4 is expressed through a coordinated set of presynaptic and postsynaptic changes that increase both the density of presynaptic release sites and the density of postsynaptic GABAAR receptors. These changes are specific to MD-induced plasticity during the CP, and are prevented by a mutation (GAD65KO) that prevents CP onset, suggesting that this form of FS plasticity is tightly coupled to the onset of the canonical visual system CP.

Several distinct forms of neocortical FS synaptic plasticity have been described, including whisker deprivation-induced potentiation at FS→SP synapses in L2/3 barrel cortex (House et al., 2011), potentiation of FS→SP synapses in L2/3 of V1 (Saritih et al., 2012), spike timing-dependent plasticity at FS→SP synapses in L2/3 (Holmgren and Zilberter, 2001; Liu et al., 2007), and developmentally regulated potentiation and depression at FS→SP synapses in V1 L4 (Maffei et al., 2006; Lefort et al., 2013). Plasticity of FS synapses is thus a robust feature of primary sensory neocortex, yet the expression mechanisms of these various forms of FS plasticity remain largely unexplored.

One potential locus of FS plasticity is changes in the number of functional FS presynaptic terminals. FS bouton density onto pyramidal neurons is developmentally regulated: observed terminal density increased between p15 and p17 and then stabilized between p17 and p25. In addition to this developmental regulation, prolonged sensory deprivation (>14 d) reduces FS bouton number in both visual and barrel cortex (Cellerino et al., 1992; Jiao et al., 2005; de la Rocha et al., 2008; Sohal et al., 2009; House et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Experience-dependent plasticity of FS synapses contributes to this regulation, but the expression mechanisms that tune FS synaptic strength are poorly understood. Here we show that MD-induced FS→SP inhibitory plasticity in layer 4 is expressed through a coordinated set of presynaptic and postsynaptic changes that increase both the density of presynaptic release sites and the density of postsynaptic GABAAR receptors. These changes are specific to MD-induced plasticity during the CP, and are prevented by a mutation (GAD65KO) that prevents CP onset, suggesting that this form of FS plasticity is tightly coupled to the onset of the canonical visual system CP.

Several distinct forms of neocortical FS synaptic plasticity have been described, including whisker deprivation-induced potentiation at FS→SP synapses in L2/3 barrel cortex (House et al., 2011), potentiation of FS→SP synapses in L2/3 of V1 (Saritih et al., 2012), spike timing-dependent plasticity at FS→SP synapses in L2/3 (Holmgren and Zilberter, 2001; Liu et al., 2007), and developmentally regulated potentiation and depression at FS→SP synapses in V1 L4 (Maffei et al., 2006; Lefort et al., 2013). Plasticity of FS synapses is thus a robust feature of primary sensory neocortex, yet the expression mechanisms of these various forms of FS plasticity remain largely unexplored.

One potential locus of FS plasticity is changes in the number of functional FS presynaptic terminals. FS bouton density onto pyramidal neurons is developmentally regulated: observed terminal density increased between p15 and p17 and then stabilized between p17 and p25. In addition to this developmental regulation, prolonged sensory deprivation (>14 d) reduces FS bouton number in both visual and barrel cortex (Cellerino et al., 1992; Jiao et
Figure 6. GABA\(\gamma\)R density at FS→SP synapses increases following MD during the CP. A, Representative image of a FS→SP synapse from a p25 control hemisphere showing preembedding labeling for PV (large silver-enhanced gold particles) and postembedding labeling for presynaptic (blue arrowheads) and postsynaptic (red arrowheads) GABA\(\gamma\)2-subunits (5 nm gold particles). B, GABA\(\gamma\)Rs at a p25 FS→SP synapse from a deprived hemisphere. C, Axo-somatic (presynaptic vs postsynaptic) distribution of GABA\(\gamma\)2-gold labeling in control and deprived hemispheres. Red line and arrowhead indicate centroid of synaptic cleft. Dotted and solid lines are running average fits with a period = 2. D, Radial distribution (normalized to synapse length) of GABA\(\gamma\)2-gold labeling in control and deprived hemispheres. Red line and arrowhead indicate centroid of synaptic cleft. Dotted and solid lines are running average fits with a period = 2. E, Cumulative percentage histogram and average bar plot (inset) for presynaptic GABA\(\gamma\)2-gold particle density (see Materials and Methods, and Results). F, Cumulative percentage histogram and average bar plot (inset) for postsynaptic GABA\(\gamma\)2-gold particle density. Scale bar: (in B) A, B, 100 nm. *p < 0.05.
In contrast, we found that brief MD did not modulate FS→SP synaptic strength through changes in bouton number. Indeed, even deprivation during the pre-CP (when bouton number is still developmentally plastic) did not result in significant deprivation-induced changes. Thus, the relatively rapid forms of FS plasticity induced by brief MD are not accomplished through changes in bouton number.

To carefully examine the locus of plasticity at existing boutons, we used a combined ultrastructural and optogenetic approach. MD depresses FS→SP transmission during the pre-CP but potentiates it during the CP (Maffei et al., 2004, 2006, 2010). We found that brief MD during the CP increased both the length of the active zone and the density of docked vesicles, and this was accompanied by an increase in the density of postsynaptic GABAARs. These findings suggest that potentiation at this synapse arises jointly from an increase in the number of functional release sites per bouton and an increase in the effectiveness of each released quantum of neurotransmitter. Assuming a standard binomial model of synaptic transmission (Faber and Korn, 1991; Larkman et al., 1992; Sjostrom et al., 2007), these changes are predicted to enhance synaptic strength and reduce synaptic variance, through an increase in the number of functional release sites (N), without affecting the short-term dynamics of transmission, as previously observed (Maffei et al., 2006). Indeed, if the number of docked vesicles is a direct measure of N, the MD-induced increase we observed (Fig. 3B,D) is predicted to reduce the CV by ~20% (Faber and Korn, 1991). This is very close to the actual MD-induced reduction in CV measured at this synapse (~25%; Maffei and Turrigiano, 2008), suggesting that the increase in docked vesicles accounts for most of the reduction in measured CV. Given that short-term synaptic dynamics at inhibitory synapses contribute to the maintenance of the excitation–inhibition balance (Varela et al., 1999; Reyes, 2011; Ma et al., 2012), targeting N (rather than probability of release) may be an efficient way of modulating FS synaptic strength without destabilizing circuit dynamics.

It has been suggested that there is a synaptic “size principle” in which larger synapses are stronger (Henneman, 1957; Pierce and Lewin, 1994). Synapse size and strength tend to be correlated (Desmond and Levy, 1983; Murthy et al., 2001; Branco et al., 2010; Holderith et al., 2012), and LTP and LTD induction at excitatory synapses can be associated with synapse growth and shrinkage, respectively (Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Ostroff et al., 2002; Matsuzaki et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004). Conversely, the physiological and anatomical plasticity following LTP can be dissociated (Wang et al., 2007; Bosch and Hayashi, 2012; Hill and Zito, 2013), suggesting that the factors that regulate size and strength are separable. Here we find that while MD during the CP increases FS synaptic size, the number of docked vesicles and postsynaptic receptors increases to an even greater degree, such that the density of docked vesicles and postsynaptic receptors is significantly increased. Therefore, the MD-induced increase in FS synaptic output is not merely the consequence of increased synapse size but must involve active mechanisms that adjust the density of both presynaptic and postsynaptic components of transmission.

This coordinated increase in presynaptic and postsynaptic aspects of synaptic transmission has implications for the induction mechanisms of this form of FS plasticity. In particular, it suggests that there is some form of trans-synaptic signaling, beyond simple size coupling, which leads to coordinated presynaptic and postsynaptic strengthening. There is evidence that the MD-induced potentiation of FS→SP transmission arises through the induction of a form of LTP of inhibition (LTPi) induced by decorrelated visual drive (Maffei et al., 2006), whereas the depression of FS→SP transmission induced during the pre-CP is correlated with the expression of LTP of inhibition (LTDi) at this same synapse (Lefort et al., 2013). Both LTPi and LTDi require postsynaptic depolarization and signaling through GABAARs (Lefort et al., 2013), but it is currently unknown whether this requirement for GABAAR signaling is mediated through presynaptic or postsynaptic receptors. Another interesting possibility is that this plasticity could require signaling through a retrograde signal, such as BDNF, which has been implicated in many aspects of FS cell development, as well as in the activity-dependent strengthening of FS inhibition (Huang et al., 1999; Itami et al., 2007; Inagaki et al., 2008).

There is accumulating evidence that FS interneurons are permissive for the initiation phase of the classical CP in rodent V1 (Huang et al., 1999; Fagiolini and Hensch, 2000; Pizzorusso et al., 2002; Southwell et al., 2010), yet it remains unclear which aspects of FS circuit maturation are essential for the initiation and maintenance of CP plasticity. Two recent papers (Hengen et al., 2013; Kuhlman et al., 2013) provide evidence that a rapid drop in FS activity after 1 d of MD, followed by a homeostatic rebound in FS activity by day 2 of MD, may gate the initial stages of CP plasticity in V1m and V1b. Coupled with the observation that FS plasticity changes sign (− to +) at the opening of the CP (Maffei et al., 2004, 2006; Lefort et al., 2013), these data raise the possibility that potentiation at this synapse contributes to the gating of CP plasticity within L4.

In support of this idea, here we find that GAD65KO mice that are unable to initiate CP plasticity in V1 (Hensch et al., 1998; Fagiolini and Hensch, 2000; Iwai et al., 2003) also fail to show the morphological correlates of potentiation at FS→SP synapses following brief MD. Interestingly, there were significant baseline morphological differences at FS→SP synapses between the GAD65KO mice and controls, including a larger readily releasable pool and an increase in docked vesicles (Fig. 3B,C). These baseline changes in GAD65KO mice may reflect homeostatic alterations of morphology designed to compensate for the loss of vesicular GABAergic content, similar to the reported increase in GABA uptake efficiency within GAD65KO synaptic vesicles (Wu et al., 2007). Further, rather than simply lacking plasticity, the GAD65KO mice underwent a reduction (rather than increase) in the number of docked vesicles at FS synapses after MD, suggesting that both baseline transmission and plasticity at FS synapses have been fundamentally altered in these mice.

A building consensus suggests that potentiation and depression of the FS→SP synapse is an integral feature of mammalian circuit development and refinement in sensory cortex (Castillo et al., 2011; Maffei, 2011; Vogels et al., 2013). GABAergic inhibition plays a crucial role in shaping cortical response properties in V1 (Atallah et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2012), suggesting that plasticity of FS output during normal vision could play an important role in the refinement of visual cortical response properties. Here we demonstrate that experience-dependent changes in FS→SP synaptic strength are coincidently expressed both presynaptically and postsynaptically during a developmental window of heightened malleability in V1. These coordinated changes in FS output may represent an expression pathway for modulating sensory tuning, as well as a necessary step in gating MD-induced pyramidal cell plasticity during the CP in visual cortex.
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